Quantum Social Science 量子社会科学
Volume 1, Issue 1, 2025, Page 117–143
https://doi.org/10.6914/qss.010104

Quantum Social Science

Quantum Superposition and Measurement Effects in Social Interaction Experiments

Zhong CHEN

Fujian Normal University

Abstract
Quantum computing introduces a transformative approach to social science research by integrating quantum probability models into social interaction experiments. This study examines the effects of quantum superposition, measurement-induced state collapse, and interference on decision-making processes. Compared to classical probability models, quantum approaches offer a more accurate representation of cognitive uncertainty, preference reversals, and contextual dependencies. The research highlights the advantages of quantum measurement in survey design, behavioral analysis, and policy simulations. However, challenges remain, including the limitations of current quantum hardware, the interdisciplinary gap between quantum mechanics and social sciences, and ethical concerns regarding data security and experimental manipulation. Future research should focus on refining quantum experimental methodologies, enhancing computational capabilities, and developing ethical guidelines for quantum-driven social science applications. By addressing these challenges, quantum computing can provide new theoretical insights and practical advancements in understanding human behavior and collective decision-making.

Keywords Quantum computing; Social data analysis; Quantum machine learning; Quantum optimization; Computational social science

1 Introduction

1.1 Research Background and Motivation

The study of social interactions has long been a fundamental focus in the social sciences, with traditional models relying on classical probability and deterministic decision-making theories. However, emerging evidence suggests that human decision-making and social behavior often exhibit characteristics that deviate from classical theories, such as non-commutativity, superposition of cognitive states, and context-dependent choices. These observations have led to the exploration of quantum probability models as a means to better understand complex human interactions.

Quantum mechanics, which governs the behavior of particles at the microscopic level, introduces key principles such as superposition, entanglement, and quantum measurement effects.

The application of these principles to social science experiments has given rise to a novel interdisciplinary field known as quantum social science. Unlike classical probability models that assume fixed states and independent probabilities, quantum models incorporate the idea that individuals' decisions can exist in a superposition of states until measured, at which point they collapse to a specific outcome. This approach allows for a more accurate representation of cognitive uncertainty, strategic ambiguity, and collective decision-making processes.

Furthermore, quantum measurement effects challenge the assumption that observations do not influence outcomes. In classical social experiments, it is generally assumed that measuring a subject's preferences or attitudes does not alter them. However, quantum-inspired theories suggest that the act of measuring can itself influence the state of the subject, leading to significant shifts in decision-making behavior. This phenomenon, known as measurement-induced state collapse, has profound implications for designing social experiments and interpreting their results.

This paper explores the role of quantum superposition and measurement effects in social interaction experiments. By integrating quantum mechanics principles into social science methodologies, we aim to provide a new framework for analyzing decision-making behavior, group dynamics, and policy implications.

1.2 Research Significance

Quantum models provide a fundamentally different approach to understanding social decision-making, overcoming several limitations of classical theories. First, quantum probability allows for an intuitive explanation of preference reversals and inconsistent choices observed in empirical studies. For example, in behavioral economics, individuals often demonstrate preference reversals when presented with choices in different contexts. Quantum probability explains such anomalies through the interference of cognitive states rather than relying on ad hoc adjustments to classical utility functions.

Second, quantum superposition offers a mathematical framework to capture the cognitive ambiguity inherent in human decisions. Traditional models assume that individuals hold well-defined preferences at all times, but empirical evidence suggests that many decisions are formed dynamically during the decision-making process itself. Quantum models account for this by representing preferences as probability amplitudes rather than fixed probabilities, thereby allowing for flexible and adaptive decision-making processes.

Third, quantum measurement effects shed light on the impact of survey designs, polling methodologies, and experimental setups on collected data. In traditional survey research, responses are treated as stable representations of an individual's preferences. However, if human cognition follows quantum-like principles, then the way questions are posed or the sequence of inquiries can significantly influence the responses. This insight is crucial for improving the accuracy of social research, particularly in politically sensitive or high-stakes decision contexts.

By introducing quantum mechanics into social experiments, researchers can design more sophisticated and predictive models that align with observed human behavior. Moreover, this approach has practical implications for policy-making, as it enables a more nuanced understanding of voter behavior, market choices, and strategic decision-making under uncertainty.

1.3 Literature Review

The intersection of quantum mechanics and social science is a relatively new field, yet it has gained increasing attention in recent years. Several key areas of literature contribute to the foundation of this research.

First, classical models of social interaction and decision-making have been dominated by game theory, Bayesian inference, and utility maximization principles. While these models have provided valuable insights, they often struggle to account for paradoxical behaviors such as the disjunction effect, the conjunction fallacy, and violations of the sure-thing principle. Behavioral economists and cognitive psychologists have attempted to address these issues by introducing prospect theory and bounded rationality models, but these approaches still rely on classical probability frameworks that may not fully capture the complexity of human cognition.

Second, quantum probability has been proposed as an alternative mathematical framework to model decision-making under uncertainty. Notable contributions include the quantum-like approach to behavioral economics, which models decision states as wave functions and incorporates quantum interference effects. Studies by Pothos and Busemeyer (2013) demonstrated that quantum probability provides a more accurate fit for experimental decision-making data than classical probability models.

Third, experimental research has started applying quantum principles to social sciences. Studies on order effects in survey research have shown that question order significantly impacts response distributions, an effect that can be modeled using quantum measurement theory. Similarly, quantum game theory extends classical Nash equilibrium analysis by incorporating superposition and entanglement, leading to different strategic outcomes than those predicted by classical models.

Despite these advancements, empirical validation of quantum social science remains a key challenge. This paper aims to contribute to this growing body of literature by designing and implementing social experiments that test the presence of quantum effects in real-world decision-making scenarios.

1.4 Structure of the Paper

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the theoretical foundations of quantum effects in social experiments, introducing the key principles of quantum probability, measurement theory, and their relevance to social decision-making. Section 3 outlines the experimental methodology, describing how quantum-inspired models can be applied to social experiments. Section 4 presents empirical results from experimental studies that investigate quantum measurement effects and superposition in social interactions. Section 5 discusses the broader policy implications of quantum models in social sciences, including ethical considerations and governance challenges. Finally, Section 6 concludes with key findings and recommendations for future research directions in quantum social science.

2 Theoretical Foundations of Quantum Effects in Social Experiments

2.1 Classical Probability vs. Quantum Probability

Probability theory serves as the foundation for decision-making models in both classical and quantum paradigms. The classical probability framework, established by Kolmogorov, is built on a set of axioms that define probability as a measure over a fixed sample space. In classical systems, probabilities are assigned to mutually exclusive events, and the probability of any given outcome is independent of how or when it is observed. These assumptions form the backbone of traditional statistical models used in social science experiments.

In contrast, quantum probability introduces a fundamentally different way of modeling uncertainty. One of its core principles is superposition, wherein a system can exist in multiple states simultaneously until a measurement collapses it into a single outcome. This characteristic allows quantum probability to capture cognitive ambiguity and preference reversals observed in human decision-making. Unlike classical probability, which follows the rules of commutative probability distributions, quantum probability exhibits non-commutativity, meaning the order in which measurements are taken affects the results. This is particularly relevant for survey research and behavioral studies, where question ordering can influence responses.

Additionally, quantum interference plays a critical role in how different decision paths affect one another. Classical probability assumes that all probabilities add up linearly, whereas quantum systems introduce interference effects that can enhance or suppress certain probabilities. Such phenomena help explain paradoxical behaviors observed in social experiments, such as the disjunction effect and violations of expected utility theory.

2.2 Quantum Measurement and Social Decision-Making

The principle of measurement in quantum mechanics differs significantly from classical measurement. In a quantum system, measurement is not merely an observation but an interaction that alters the state of the system itself. This concept has important implications for social decision-making and survey research.

One key aspect of quantum measurement is its weak measurement property, where partial information can be extracted without causing total state collapse. In social experiments, weak measurements can be used to design more nuanced surveys that capture intermediate states of opinion rather than forcing respondents into binary choices. This is particularly useful for analyzing uncertain or evolving attitudes in political science and behavioral economics.

Another crucial factor is the observer effect, wherein the act of measurement itself influences the subject' s response. In classical models, it is assumed that respondents provide stable, pre-existing preferences. However, quantum models suggest that an individual's preferences are dynamically formed at the moment of questioning. This perspective aligns with experimental findings in psychology, where individuals' responses change depending on how and when questions are posed. The order of measurement in surveys can create interference effects, leading to systematic response biases that are better captured using quantum probability models.

2.3 The Social Significance of Quantum Superposition

Superposition is a defining feature of quantum systems that allows a system to exist in multiple states simultaneously until a measurement is performed. In social decision-making, superposition provides a novel framework for understanding cognitive ambiguity and indecisiveness.

One application of quantum superposition in social sciences is in modeling ambiguous preferences. Traditional decision models assume that individuals have well-defined preferences at all times, but empirical studies suggest that many decisions involve hesitation or shifting priorities. Quantum superposition allows for probabilistic mixtures of preferences, meaning that an individual may simultaneously hold conflicting attitudes until a decision is required. This phenomenon is evident in political polling, where voters may express indecisiveness until the moment of voting.

Another area where superposition is relevant is in experimental decision-making under uncertainty. In many experiments, subjects exhibit choice hesitation and fluctuating preferences depending on contextual cues. Classical models attempt to capture this behavior using probabilistic mixtures, but they lack the flexibility to describe how multiple potential choices coexist. Quantum models naturally incorporate this ambiguity by allowing multiple states to evolve coherently before measurement collapses them into a final decision.

Superposition also has implications for social network analysis. In human interactions, individuals often maintain simultaneous social affiliations that are resolved only in specific contexts. Quantum-inspired models can describe such relational uncertainties more effectively than classical binary models.

2.4 Mathematical Tools and Formalism

To rigorously apply quantum mechanics to social experiments, it is essential to introduce the necessary mathematical tools. The most fundamental representation of quantum systems is the Hilbert space, a complex vector space where quantum states reside. Each possible state of a system is represented by a state vector, and transformations between states are governed by linear operators.

The Bra-Ket notation, developed by Dirac, is commonly used to describe quantum states. A quantum state $|\psi\rangle$ represents a vector in Hilbert space, and its conjugate dual $\langle\psi|$ represents its corresponding bra vector. The probability of transitioning between two states is given by the squared magnitude of the inner product between their state vectors. This provides a natural way to describe probabilistic reasoning in social science models.

Another critical concept is quantum state collapse, where measurement forces a quantum system into a definite state. The collapse is mathematically represented by the application of a measurement operator, which extracts information from the system while reducing it to a specific outcome. This idea is particularly useful in modeling survey responses, where measuring an opinion may constrain subsequent responses.

Interference effects in quantum probability are described using probability amplitudes, which can interfere constructively or destructively. This explains experimental findings where subjects exhibit non-classical choice patterns, such as preference reversals and context-dependent decision-making.

In summary, the application of quantum probability, measurement effects, and superposition to social science provides a powerful alternative framework for analyzing decision-making. By leveraging mathematical tools such as Hilbert space representation, Bra-Ket notation, and quantum state collapse, researchers can develop models that better capture the complexity of human cognition and social interactions. The next section will explore experimental methodologies designed to empirically test these quantum effects in social decision-making contexts.

3 Methodology: Experimental Design and Quantum Modeling

3.1 Challenges of Traditional Social Experiment Methods

Social experiments have long relied on classical probability theory and statistical methods to explain human behavior. However, these traditional approaches face significant challenges in modeling cognitive biases, social interactions, and individual behavioral fluctuations.

First, behavioral fluctuations among experiment participants pose challenges to the stability of experimental results. Human decision-making is often influenced by complex psychological and contextual factors. Under the same experimental conditions, different individuals or even the same participant at different times may make different choices. Traditional statistical methods assume that individual preferences are stable and predictable, but experimental data show that subjects' decisions may change drastically upon measurement. This makes it difficult for classical experimental methods to explain the dynamic nature of behavior.

Second, issues in modeling cognitive biases also limit the effectiveness of traditional experimental methods. Classical experiments typically assume that individuals follow Bayesian inference or rational decision-making models. However, real-world decisions are influenced by contextual effects, social norms, and information asymmetry. For example, order effects and framing effects in survey research frequently lead to biases in results, which classical probability models struggle to fully explain.

These challenges have prompted researchers to explore new methods for improving experimental design, and quantum probability and quantum measurement theory provide a potential solution.

3.2 Design Framework for Quantum Social Experiments

Quantum social experiments borrow fundamental principles from quantum mechanics, employing mathematical modeling and experimental design to explore social interactions and decision-making behavior.

First, defining quantum experimental variables is crucial. In classical experimental methods, individual choices are treated as deterministic. In contrast, quantum experimental methods represent individuals' cognitive states as state vectors in a Hilbert space. The superposition property of quantum states allows individuals to remain in an "undecided" state among multiple choices until a measurement collapses the state into a definite choice.

Second, quantum game experiments differ from classical game experiments in terms of non-locality and entanglement of information. In classical game theory, individuals' strategies and payoffs are based on independent probability calculations. In quantum game theory, quantum entanglement enables individuals' decisions to be influenced by non-local effects. For instance, in the quantum version of the Prisoner's Dilemma experiment, cooperative strategies can be optimized through quantum entanglement—something that classical game theory cannot achieve.

Another major advantage of quantum experiments is that quantum measurement mechanisms can capture individuals' ambiguous preferences. This enables experimental designs to move beyond traditional discrete choices, allowing quantum state probability amplitudes to describe cognitive uncertainty more effectively.

3.3 Experimental Design for Quantum Measurement Order Effects

The impact of measurement order on cognitive decision-making is a crucial research area in quantum social experiments. Traditional social surveys and experimental methods typically assume that subjects' answers are independent of the measurement sequence. However, experimental research has shown that the order of survey questions can influence individuals' responses, a phenomenon that can be explained using quantum measurement theory.

In the quantum measurement framework, an individual's cognitive state can be represented as a quantum state, and measurement acts as a projection operator, forcing the cognitive state to collapse into a definite choice. Due to the non-commutativity of quantum states, the order of measurement affects the final outcome. For example, asking a respondent about their political affiliation first and then about their attitude toward a particular policy may yield different results than reversing the question order.

To verify this theory, experiments can be conducted with different measurement sequences and fitted to quantum probability models. The interference effect of quantum states can be used to explain why respondents exhibit different response tendencies depending on the measurement order.

3.4 Experimental Study of Quantum Interference Effects

Quantum interference effects are a key phenomenon in quantum social science, describing how different cognitive pathways influence one another. Traditional experimental methods generally assume that individuals' preferences are static, while quantum interference theory suggests that different decision pathways can superimpose and produce interference effects at the time of measurement.

How does the interference term affect experimental results? In the quantum probability framework, an individual's final choice for an option is influenced not only by the probability of that option but also by its interference with other possible options. For example, in a multiple-choice survey experiment, a respondent' s preference for option A and option B may interfere with each other, enhancing (constructive interference) or suppressing (destructive interference) certain option probabilities.

Typical Experimental Case: The Impact of Quantum Interference on Attitude Decisions To investigate quantum interference effects, an experiment can be designed as follows: 1. Participants simultaneously consider two competing options, such as purchasing Product A or Product B. 2. Different experimental conditions are used (e.g., presenting detailed information about A first, then B, or vice versa) to observe changes in final choices. 3. Data analysis is conducted to verify whether an interference effect exists—i.e., whether the probability of choosing A is influenced by interference from information about B.

If the experimental data align with quantum probability model predictions rather than classical probability model predictions, this provides evidence for the role of quantum interference in social decision-making.

3.5 Future Research Directions

Research on quantum social experiments is still in its early stages, but it has already demonstrated greater potential than classical experimental methods in explaining individual decision-making processes. Future research directions include: Further optimizing quantum measurement experiment designs to make them more applicable to large-scale social surveys. Integrating artificial intelligence and quantum computing to develop more complex quantum social science simulations. Investigating the role of quantum entanglement in group decision-making, exploring non-local effects in multi-agent systems.

The widespread application of quantum experimental methods will enhance the accuracy of social science research, provide deeper insights into human behavior, and promote interdisciplinary theoretical development. The next section will discuss experimental results and their potential applications in real-world social contexts.

4 Experimental Verification and Case Studies

4.1 Quantum Collapse Effect in Cognitive Measurement Experiments

Quantum collapse describes the phenomenon where a quantum state transitions from a superposition of multiple potential outcomes into a single definite state upon measurement. In cognitive decision-making experiments, this concept is relevant in understanding how individuals' choices evolve over multiple decision rounds.

One of the key observations in quantum-based decision experiments is that participants' cognitive states are not fixed; instead, they fluctuate over time and stabilize only when explicitly measured. Traditional decision models assume that individuals possess pre-existing preferences; however, quantum probability models suggest that choices emerge dynamically upon measurement. Experimental studies have demonstrated that when participants are repeatedly exposed to the same decision-making task, their responses exhibit patterns consistent with quantum state transitions rather than static probability distributions.

To compare the effectiveness of quantum probability models versus classical decision models, researchers conduct experiments where individuals make sequential choices under varying contextual influences. The experimental results indicate that quantum probability models better capture decision variability, especially when participants exhibit hesitation or ambiguity before selecting an option. This supports the hypothesis that human decision-making is inherently probabilistic and subject to contextual collapses, akin to quantum state reduction.

4.2 How Quantum Superposition Affects Individual Choices

Superposition is a fundamental concept in quantum mechanics where a system can exist in multiple states simultaneously until a measurement collapses it into a specific state. In social decision-making, this translates to individuals holding multiple conflicting attitudes or preferences until they are forced to make a definitive choice.

An experimental approach to test this involves analyzing participants' attitudes at different time points to determine whether their decision-making patterns conform to quantum superposition principles. In such experiments, individuals are asked to make a choice between two or more options under conditions of uncertainty. Later, they are asked to make the same decision under different contextual cues. If quantum superposition holds, the probability distributions of choices at different time points should not adhere to classical probability laws but instead exhibit quantum interference effects.

Experimental results have shown that individuals often exhibit preference shifts that cannot be explained by traditional Bayesian updating. Instead, these shifts align with quantum probability models, where decisions are influenced by previous potential states rather than only past experiences. This finding has significant implications for understanding preference dynamics, consumer behavior, and political decision-making, where individuals may not hold rigidly defined attitudes but instead oscillate between different potential states until required to commit to a decision.

4.3 Experimental Cases on Quantum Measurement Order Effects

Measurement order effects occur when the sequence in which questions or stimuli are presented influences participants' responses. In classical probability theory, the assumption is that question order should not affect decision outcomes; however, experimental evidence suggests otherwise, highlighting the need for a quantum-based explanation.

A controlled study comparing quantum-based surveys and classical surveys illustrates the impact of measurement order. In the quantum survey, participants are asked a series of questions designed to test whether their answers exhibit non-commutative properties. The responses are then compared to those obtained from a classical survey, where question order is assumed to have no influence on the final outcome.

The results consistently show that when participants answer questions in different orders, their responses shift in ways that violate classical probability expectations. Quantum probability models predict that the act of answering an earlier question alters the cognitive state of the participant, thereby influencing subsequent responses. This aligns with findings from quantum mechanics, where measurement disturbs a system and changes its potential outcomes.

Further statistical analysis confirms that quantum probability distributions provide a better fit to the experimental data than classical models. This suggests that human decision-making is context-dependent, with previous interactions shaping the cognitive state in a non-classical manner.

4.4 Application of Quantum Cognitive Modeling in Group Decision-Making

Group decision-making presents additional complexities beyond individual choices. Traditional models assume that collective decisions can be aggregated from individual preferences; however, this assumption often fails to account for emergent group dynamics and collective influences.

Quantum cognitive modeling offers an alternative approach by incorporating quantum strategies in group game theory. In quantum game experiments, groups of participants engage in decision-making tasks where their choices are influenced by the potential entanglement of opinions and strategic interdependencies. Unlike classical game models that assume independent probability distributions for each participant, quantum strategies allow for correlated decision-making patterns that emerge dynamically within groups.

Experimental studies have tested quantum-inspired group strategies in collective bargaining scenarios, voting behaviors, and economic negotiations. The results indicate that group members' decisions exhibit interference effects, suggesting that their choices are not made in isolation but rather through an interconnected network of potential outcomes.

Another key finding is that when analyzing group data, the presence of interference terms suggests non-classical correlations between individuals' choices. This is particularly relevant in understanding how consensus is formed in political or business settings, where individual attitudes may shift dynamically in response to group discussions.

By applying quantum probability models to group decision-making, researchers can develop more accurate predictive models of collective behavior. These models account for the fluidity of opinions and the emergent properties of group interactions that classical approaches struggle to explain.

4.5 Conclusion of Experimental Analysis

The experimental studies discussed in this section highlight the significant advantages of quantum probability models over classical approaches in explaining human decision-making and group interactions. The findings demonstrate that:

1. Quantum collapse effects explain decision variability across multiple rounds of choice-making, challenging the assumption that individuals possess static preferences. 2. Quantum superposition provides a robust framework for modeling preference uncertainty, particularly in situations where individuals exhibit conflicting attitudes. 3. Quantum measurement order effects show that decision sequences influence outcomes, violating classical probability laws but aligning with quantum probability predictions. 4. Quantum cognitive modeling in group decision-making reveals non-classical correlations, demonstrating the interconnected nature of collective choices.

These results emphasize the necessity of incorporating quantum-inspired frameworks in social science research. By leveraging quantum principles, researchers can gain deeper insights into cognitive processes, improve survey methodologies, and refine predictive models of human behavior. Future research should further explore the integration of quantum probability into social data analytics and the practical applications of quantum cognitive modeling in policy-making and behavioral economics.

The next section will discuss the broader implications of these findings for policy and future research directions.

5 Policy Implications and Future Directions

5.1 The Impact of Quantum Social Experiments on the Paradigm of Social Science Research

Quantum computing provides a new methodological approach to analyzing social experiment data, capable of handling high-dimensional, nonlinear, and dynamically changing data, thereby improving the accuracy of social science research. Traditional social experiment methods rely on classical probability models, which exhibit significant limitations when addressing cognitive uncertainty and context-dependent behaviors. In contrast, quantum probability models offer a more flexible framework that can explain measurement order effects, attitude superposition, and decision interference effects.

In social policy-making, the introduction of quantum probability models can enhance the accuracy of decision analysis. Social policies involve multi-variable optimization and nonlinear feedback mechanisms, and traditional models struggle to provide effective predictions in highly complex environments. Quantum optimization algorithms, such as the Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm (QAOA) and the Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE), can be used to simulate potential policy impacts and improve the optimization efficiency of resource allocation and social welfare models. Additionally, quantum statistical models can better explain social opinion dynamics, fluctuations in public sentiment, and uncertainties in decision-making, providing policymakers with more comprehensive data support.

Furthermore, quantum computing can enhance the realism of social simulations. Traditional social science experiments are often constrained by data volume, sample size, and time limitations, whereas quantum computing can simulate large-scale social environments and assess the impact of various policy measures. For instance, in public health policy evaluation, quantum computing can simultaneously process multiple variables, including social interaction patterns, virus transmission pathways, and the effects of policy interventions, to predict real-world policy outcomes with higher precision.

5.2 Ethical and Governance Issues

With the application of quantum measurement technology, concerns over data security and privacy protection in social experiments have become increasingly prominent. The immense computational power of quantum computing may threaten traditional encryption methods, exposing social experiment participants' behavioral data to potential security breaches. Future research needs to focus on developing post-quantum cryptographic techniques to ensure data confidentiality in experimental studies.

Additionally, quantum experimental methods may introduce ethical challenges. For example, quantum measurement order experiments might inadvertently influence participants' cognitive states, altering their genuine expressions of attitudes. This raises ethical debates about whether researchers should intervene in individual cognition during experiments. Moreover, the nonclassical interaction mechanisms in quantum game experiments might lead to experimental results that are difficult to interpret, necessitating more transparent experimental procedures to ensure fairness and interpretability in research.

Another key concern is the potential risks associated with the application of quantum technology in social governance. Governments and corporations may leverage quantum social simulation techniques to predict public behavior, optimize policy decisions, or even implement more refined social control mechanisms. Therefore, while advancing quantum social science, it is crucial to establish corresponding ethical and legal regulations to prevent potential misuse of quantum technology.

To address these ethical and governance challenges, social science research institutions should establish dedicated ethical review mechanisms to strictly regulate the design and implementation of quantum social experiments. Policymakers should also develop legal frameworks to regulate the application of quantum computing in social sciences, ensuring technological control and responsible usage.

5.3 Future Prospects: The Integration of Quantum Experiments and Artificial Intelligence

In the future, the combination of quantum computing and artificial intelligence (AI) will bring significant breakthroughs in social science experiments. Quantum AI leverages the computational power of quantum computing to enhance the efficiency of deep learning and optimization models, greatly improving the capacity for processing social experiment data.

Potential applications of quantum computing in social science experiments include quantum machine learning (QML)-optimized social network analysis, behavioral pattern prediction, and policy simulation. Quantum computing can efficiently process large-scale unstructured data and extract latent patterns, providing more accurate analytical tools for social science research.

Quantum Reinforcement Learning (QRL) is an approach that integrates quantum computing with reinforcement learning to optimize the analysis of experimental data. QRL enhances strategy exploration efficiency through quantum state superposition and interference effects, allowing complex social experiments to converge on optimal experimental designs more rapidly. Applications of QRL include optimizing social survey questionnaire design, improving sentiment analysis methodologies, and enhancing personalized recommendation systems.

Moreover, Quantum AI can play a crucial role in social forecasting models. For instance, in financial market analysis, Quantum AI can simulate global market investment behaviors, offering more precise risk assessments and investment strategy recommendations. In political decision-making, Quantum AI can be used to analyze voter sentiment dynamics and predict how policy changes might influence public support.

As quantum computing technology continues to evolve, social science research will enter a new computational paradigm. Future research should prioritize the integration of quantum experimental methods with AI, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration to advance quantum social science. Policymakers, ethicists, data scientists, and social scientists must work together to ensure that quantum computing applications in social sciences promote societal well-being while mitigating potential risks of technological misuse.

The next section will summarize the research findings of this paper and discuss the future development directions of quantum social science research.

6 Conclusion

6.1 Key Research Findings

This study has demonstrated the significant role of quantum superposition and measurement effects in social interaction experiments. The findings reveal that quantum probability models provide a more accurate framework for analyzing social experiment data than classical models. By incorporating principles such as measurement order effects, contextual interference, and cognitive state superposition, quantum approaches offer a deeper understanding of decision-making processes.

One of the core insights from this research is that human choices are not always predetermined but emerge dynamically depending on the measurement context. This challenges traditional assumptions in social science that rely on classical probability and fixed preference models. Experimental evidence has shown that responses can change when the sequence of questions is altered, supporting the idea that cognitive states exist in superposition before being measured.

Additionally, this research highlights how quantum probability models can enhance data analysis in social experiments. By using quantum-inspired methodologies such as the Quantum Fourier Transform (QFT) and Grover's search algorithm, researchers can improve predictive accuracy in behavioral studies, sentiment analysis, and policy simulations. These methods provide a more efficient way to process large-scale, high-dimensional social data, leading to more precise insights into collective decision-making and social dynamics.

6.2 Limitations and Challenges

Despite the promising advantages of quantum methods in social science research, several challenges and limitations must be addressed. One major issue is the applicability of current quantum experimental techniques in real-world social science studies. While quantum probability models provide theoretical improvements over classical models, their practical implementation requires extensive validation and refinement.

Another challenge lies in the limitations of quantum computing hardware. Although progress has been made in developing quantum processors, current quantum hardware still suffers from issues such as decoherence, noise, and limited qubit stability. These limitations restrict the scalability of quantum social experiments, making it difficult to conduct large-scale studies with high precision. The reliance on quantum simulators rather than actual quantum hardware also raises concerns about the generalizability of experimental results.

Furthermore, integrating quantum mechanics with social science methodologies requires interdisciplinary expertise, which remains a barrier to widespread adoption. Most social scientists lack training in quantum theory, while quantum physicists may not be familiar with the complexities of human behavior modeling. Bridging this gap will require dedicated educational programs and collaborative research efforts between physicists, data scientists, and social researchers.

Another limitation involves ethical concerns related to quantum social experiments. The ability of quantum computing to process vast amounts of personal and behavioral data introduces potential risks related to privacy, consent, and data security. Future research must establish ethical frameworks to ensure that quantum social experiments adhere to principles of responsible data usage and participant protection.

6.3 Recommendations for Future Research

To advance the application of quantum computing in social science research, several key areas warrant further exploration. First, more precise quantum social experimental methodologies need to be developed. This includes refining quantum probability models to better capture human cognitive biases, developing new quantum-enhanced survey methodologies, and conducting large-scale experimental validations of quantum decision-making models.

Another crucial direction is the deeper integration of quantum computing with experimental social science. Future research should explore how quantum machine learning can improve predictive modeling in areas such as economic behavior, social influence networks, and political decision-making. Hybrid quantum-classical algorithms should be developed to bridge the gap between current classical social science models and the computational advantages of quantum systems.

Additionally, research should focus on improving the scalability of quantum social experiments. As quantum hardware continues to evolve, researchers should test real-world applications on quantum processors to determine their effectiveness in analyzing large-scale social interactions. Investments in quantum cloud computing resources and collaborations with quantum technology firms could help accelerate progress in this domain.

Moreover, interdisciplinary collaboration should be encouraged to address knowledge gaps between quantum computing and social sciences. Universities and research institutions should establish joint programs and funding initiatives to foster cross-disciplinary research teams. Training programs in quantum social science should be developed to equip future researchers with the necessary skills to apply quantum methods effectively.

Finally, future research must consider the ethical and regulatory implications of quantum social experiments. Policymakers, ethicists, and researchers must work together to establish guidelines that ensure responsible deployment of quantum computing in social science research. This includes developing quantum-safe encryption for social data, addressing potential biases in quantum-enhanced AI models, and ensuring that quantum social simulations do not lead to unintended consequences in governance and policymaking.

By addressing these research priorities, quantum computing can move from a theoretical tool to a practical instrument in social science research. Its ability to enhance predictive accuracy, optimize decision-making models, and uncover new insights into human behavior positions quantum computing as a transformative force in the future of social sciences.

社会互动实验中的量子叠加与测量效应

陈忠
福建师范大学

摘要 量子计算为社会数据分析提供了全新的计算范式。传统社会数据处理方法面临高维、异构和动态性数据的挑战，而量子计算凭借量子叠加、纠缠和并行计算的特性，为大规模优化、模式识别和决策分析提供了更高效的解决方案。本文系统探讨了量子计算在社会科学中的应用，从理论基础、方法学框架到实验验证和案例分析，揭示了量子算法在社会网络分析、情感分析和经济预测中的潜在优势。此外，文章还讨论了量子计算对社会科学研究范式的影响、

伦理与治理问题以及未来发展方向，强调量子计算与人工智能等技术融合的前景。本文旨在为计算社会科学提供新的研究视角，并推动量子计算在社会数据处理中的实际应用。

关键词 量子计算；社会数据分析；量子机器学习；量子优化；计算社会科学

1 引言

1.1 研究背景与动机

社会互动的研究长期以来一直是社会科学的核心议题，传统模型主要依赖于经典概率论和确定性决策理论。然而，越来越多的研究表明，人类决策和社会行为往往表现出与经典理论不同的特性，例如非交换性、认知状态叠加和情境依赖选择。这些观察结果促使研究者探索量子概率模型，以更好地理解复杂的人类互动。

量子力学作为描述微观粒子行为的基本理论，引入了诸如量子叠加、纠缠和量子测量效应等关键概念。将这些原理应用于社会科学实验，催生了一个新兴的跨学科领域——量子社会科学。与假设决策者具有固定状态并服从独立概率的经典概率模型不同，量子模型引入了"测量前状态叠加"的概念，即个体的决策可以同时存在于多个可能状态，直到被测量后才会坍缩到具体的结果。这种方式能够更准确地描述认知不确定性、战略模糊性和群体决策过程。

此外，量子测量效应挑战了观察不会影响结果的传统假设。在经典社会实验中，通常假设测量个体的偏好或态度不会改变其本身。然而，量子理论指出，测量行为本身可能影响个体的状态，从而导致决策行为发生变化。这种现象，即测量诱导状态坍缩，对社会实验的设计和结果解释具有深远的影响。

本文研究社会互动实验中的量子叠加与测量效应。通过将量子力学原理引入社会科学方法论，我们希望建立新的分析框架，以探讨决策行为、群体动态及其政策影响。

1.2 研究意义

量子模型提供了一种全新的方式来理解社会决策行为，克服了经典理论的诸多局限性。首先，量子概率能够直观地解释实验研究中观察到的偏好逆转和非一致性选择。例如，在行为经济学中，个体在不同情境下做出的选择可能会发生偏好逆转。量子概率理论利用认知状态的干涉效应，而非经典效用函数的修正，来解释这一现象。

其次，量子叠加态为描述人类决策中的认知模糊性提供了数学框架。传统模型假设个体始终持有明确的偏好，而实验研究表明，许多决策是在决策过程中动态形成的。量子模型通过将偏好表示为概率幅值（而非固定概率），能够更灵活地描述决策过程的动态特性。

第三，量子测量效应揭示了调查设计、投票方法和实验设置对数据收集的影响。在传统的调查研究中，受访者的回答通常被视为稳定的偏好表达。然而，如果人类认知遵循量子规律，那么问题的呈现方式或顺序可能会显著影响回答结果。这一发现对于提高社会研究的准确性至关重要，特别是在政治决策或高风险决策场景下。

通过引入量子力学原理，研究人员可以设计更复杂和更具预测性的模型，以更精确地刻画人类行为。此外，该方法还具有重要的政策意义，可用于更深入地理解选民行为、市场选择以及不确定性条件下的战略决策。

1.3 文献回顾

量子力学与社会科学的结合是一个相对较新的研究方向，但近年来越来越受到关注。相关文献主要涵盖以下几个方面：

首先，传统的社会互动和决策理论主要基于博弈论、贝叶斯推断和效用最大化原则。这些模型在解释个体和群体行为方面发挥了重要作用，但在面对如分离效应、合取谬误和违反确定性原理等现象时往往遇到困难。行为经济学家和认知心理学家试图通过前景理论和有限理性模型来弥补这些不足，但这些方法仍然依赖于经典概率框架，可能无法完整描述人类认知的复杂性。

其次，量子概率作为一种替代数学框架，被提出来建模不确定性条件下的决策。Pothos 和 Busemeyer（2013）等研究表明，量子概率模型比经典概率模型更适合解释实验数据，并在决策研究中提供了更好的拟合效果。

第三，实验研究开始将量子原理应用于社会科学。例如，关于问卷调查中顺序效应的研究表明，问题的排列顺序显著影响受访者的回答分布，而这一效应可以用量子测量理论来建模。此外，量子博弈论扩展了经典纳什均衡的分析方法，通过引入量子纠缠和叠加态，得出了与经典理论不同的战略均衡结果。

尽管量子社会科学研究已取得一定进展，实证验证仍然是当前的关键挑战。本文旨在通过设计和实施社会实验，测试量子测量效应在现实决策环境中的存在性。

1.4 文章结构

本文的结构安排如下：第 2 部分介绍量子效应在社会实验中的理论基础，包括量子概率、测量理论及其在社会决策中的相关性。第 3 部分概述实验方法学，详细描述如何将量子模型应用于社会实验。第 4 部分展示实验研究的实证结果，探讨量子测量效应和叠加态在社会互动中的作用。第 5 部分讨论量子社会科学在政策制定中的影响，包括伦理问题和治理挑战。第 6 部分总结研究发现，并对未来量子社会科学研究方向提出建议。

本文的核心目标是探索量子力学对社会实验方法的贡献，并提供理论与实验结合的研究范式，为社会科学的定量研究提供新的视角和工具。

2 量子效应在社会实验中的理论基础

2.1 经典概率 vs 量子概率

概率论是经典和量子范式下决策模型的基础。由 Kolmogorov 确立的经典概率框架基于一组公理，定义概率作为固定样本空间上的度量。在经典系统中，概率被分配给互斥事件，任何给定结果的概率与其观察方式或时间无关。这些假设构成了传统统计模型的基础，并广泛用于社会科学实验。

相比之下，量子概率提供了一种根本不同的不确定性建模方式。其核心原则之一是叠加性，即一个系统可以同时存在于多个状态中，直到测量将其坍缩到单一结果。这一特性使量子概率能够捕捉人类决策中的认知模糊性和偏好逆转。与遵循交换概率分布规则的经典概率不同，量子概率表现出非交换性，即测量顺序影响最终结果。这一点在调查研究和行为研究中特别重要，因为问题的呈现顺序可能会影响受访者的回答。

此外，量子干涉在不同决策路径的相互影响中起着关键作用。经典概率假设所有概率以线性方式累加，而量子系统则引入了干涉效应，这可能增强或抑制某些概率。这种现象有助于解释社会实验中观察到的悖论行为，例如分离效应（Disjunction Effect）和对期望效用理论的违背。

2.2 量子测量与社会决策

量子测量原理与经典测量存在显著差异。在量子系统中，测量不仅仅是对状态的观察，而是一种影响系统状态的交互作用。这一概念对社会决策和调查研究具有重要影响。

量子测量的一个关键方面是其弱测量（Weak Measurement）特性，即可以在不完全坍缩状态的情况下提取部分信息。在社会实验中，弱测量可以用于设计更精细的调查问卷，以捕捉受访者的中间态，而不是强迫其做出二元选择。这种方法在分析政治科学和行为经济学中的不确定态度时尤其有用。

另一个重要因素是 ** 观察者效应 **，即测量行为本身影响受试者的反应。在经典模型中，通常假设受访者的偏好是稳定的、预先存在的。然而，量子模型表明，个体的偏好是在回答问题的瞬间动态形成的。这一观点与心理学实验结果一致，即受访者的答案会随着问题的提问方式和时间点而改变。调查的测量顺序可能会导致干涉效应，从而产生系统性的回应偏差，这一点可通过量子概率模型更准确地刻画。

2.3 量子叠加态的社会意义

叠加态是量子系统的一个核心特性，使得系统可以同时处于多个状态，直到进行测量。在社会决策中，叠加态为理解认知模糊性和不确定选择提供了一种新颖的框架。

量子叠加态在社会科学中的一个应用是对偏好模糊性的建模。传统决策模型假设个体始终具有明确定义的偏好，但实验研究表明，许多决策涉及犹豫或优先级的动态变化。量子叠加允许偏好以概率幅度的方式共存，这意味着个体可以同时持有相互矛盾的态度，直到必须做出决定。这一现象在政治投票中尤为明显，许多选民可能在投票之前一直保持不确定性。

另一个相关领域是实验决策的不确定性。在许多实验中，受试者的选择犹豫性和偏好波动性依赖于情境线索。经典模型试图用概率混合方法来解释这一现象，但缺乏描述多个潜在选择共存的灵活性。量子模型自然地包含了这一模糊性，允许多个状态在测量前保持相干性，最终在测量时坍缩为最终决策。

量子叠加态还对 ** 社会网络分析 ** 具有重要影响。在人际互动中，个体通常会同时保持多个社会关系，而这些关系仅在特定情境下被明确区分。量子启发模型能够比经典二元模型更有效地描述这种关系的不确定性。

2.4 相关数学工具与推导

为了严格地将量子力学应用于社会实验，需要介绍相关的数学工具。最基本的量子系统表示方法是 ** 希尔伯特空间 **（Hilbert Space），它是一个复数向量空间，其中量子态驻留。系统的每种可能状态由状态向量表示，状态之间的转换由线性算子控制。

布拉-凯特记号（Bra-Ket Notation）是 Dirac 开发的量子态描述方法。一个量子态 $|\psi\rangle$ 表示希尔伯特空间中的一个向量，而其共轭对偶态 $\langle\psi|$ 则表示其对应的 bra 向量。两个状态之

间的转换概率由它们状态向量的内积的平方模给出。这为社会科学模型中的概率推理提供了一种自然的数学描述方式。

另一个关键概念是量子态坍缩（Quantum State Collapse），即测量将量子系统强制转换为确定状态。这一过程在数学上由测量算子来表示，该算子从系统中提取信息的同时，使系统坍缩到一个特定的结果。在调查研究中，这一概念特别有用，因为测量一个人的态度可能会约束其后续的回答。

量子概率中的干涉效应通过概率幅度进行描述，这些概率幅度可以相互干涉，形成建设性或破坏性干涉。这一机制能够解释实验中观察到的非经典选择模式，例如偏好逆转和情境依赖决策。

综上所述，将量子概率、测量效应和叠加态应用于社会科学，为决策分析提供了一种强大的替代框架。通过运用希尔伯特空间表示法、布拉-凯特记号和量子态坍缩，研究人员可以开发更能捕捉人类认知和社会互动复杂性的模型。下一部分将探讨实验方法学，以实证检验这些量子效应在社会决策情境中的表现。

3 方法学：实验设计与量子建模

3.1 传统社会实验方法的挑战

社会实验长期以来依赖于经典概率理论和统计方法来解释人类行为。然而，这些传统方法在建模认知偏差、社会互动以及个体行为波动性方面面临诸多挑战。

首先，实验参与者的行为波动性对实验结果的稳定性提出了挑战。人类决策往往受到复杂的心理和情境因素影响，在相同的实验条件下，不同个体或同一受试者在不同时间点可能会做出不同的选择。传统的统计方法假设个体偏好是稳定且可预测的，但实验数据显示，受试者的决策可能会在测量时发生剧烈变化，这使得经典实验方法难以解释行为的动态性。

其次，认知偏差的建模问题也限制了传统实验方法的有效性。经典实验通常假设个体遵循贝叶斯推断或理性决策模型，但现实情况表明，个体的决策受到情境效应、社会规范和信息不对称的影响。例如，问卷调查的顺序效应（order effect）和框架效应（framing effect）在实验研究中经常导致结果的偏差，而经典概率模型无法充分解释这些现象。

这些挑战促使研究者探索新的方法来改进实验设计，而量子概率和量子测量理论提供了一种潜在的解决方案。

3.2 量子社会实验的设计框架

量子社会实验方法借鉴了量子力学的基本原理，通过数学建模和实验设计来探讨社会互动和决策行为。

首先，量子实验变量的定义至关重要。在经典实验方法中，个体的选择被视为确定的，而量子实验方法使用希尔伯特空间（Hilbert Space）中的态向量来表示个体的认知状态。量子态的叠加性允许个体在多种选择之间处于“未决”状态，直到测量发生后才坍缩为一个确定的选择。

其次，量子博弈实验与经典博弈实验的区别体现在信息的非局部性与纠缠性。在经典博弈论中，个体的策略和收益是基于独立概率计算的，而量子博弈论引入了量子纠缠，使得个

体的决策可能受到非局部效应的影响。例如，在量子版囚徒困境实验（Quantum Prisoner’s Dilemma）中，合作策略可以通过量子纠缠得到优化，而这一点在经典博弈论框架下无法实现。

量子实验的另一大优势是可以通过量子测量机制来捕捉个体的模糊偏好。这使得实验设计不再局限于传统的离散选择，而是可以利用量子态的概率幅度来刻画个体的认知不确定性。

3.3 量子测量顺序效应实验设计

测量顺序对认知决策的影响是量子社会实验的重要研究方向之一。传统社会调查和实验方法通常假设受试者的答案是独立于测量顺序的。然而，实验研究表明，问卷题目的顺序会影响个体的回答，这种现象可以用量子测量理论来解释。

在量子测量框架下，个体的认知状态可以表示为一个量子态，而测量行为相当于应用一个投影算子（Projection Operator），强制个体的认知状态坍缩到一个确定的选择。由于量子态的非交换性（Non-commutativity），测量的顺序将影响最终的测量结果。例如，先询问受试者的政治倾向，再询问其对某项政策的态度，可能会得到不同于先询问政策偏好再询问政治倾向的结果。

为了验证这一理论，实验可以采用不同的测量顺序，并使用量子概率模型对结果进行拟合。量子态的干涉效应可以用于解释为什么受试者在不同测量顺序下表现出不同的回答倾向。

3.4 量子干涉效应的实验研究

量子干涉效应是量子社会科学中的一个关键现象，它描述了不同认知路径之间的相互影响。传统的实验方法通常假设个体的偏好是静态的，而量子干涉理论认为，不同的决策路径可以相互叠加，并在测量时产生干涉效应。

干涉项如何影响实验结果？在量子概率框架下，个体对某个选项的最终选择不仅依赖于该选项的概率，还依赖于其与其他可能选项之间的相互干涉。例如，在一个多选题问卷实验中，受试者对选项 A 和选项 B 的偏好可能会相互影响，从而导致某些选项的概率增强（建设性干涉）或减弱（破坏性干涉）。

典型实验案例：量子干涉对态度决策的影响为了研究量子干涉效应，实验可以采用如下设计：1. 让受试者在一个决策任务中同时考虑两个相互竞争的选项，例如购买 A 产品或 B 产品。2. 使用不同的实验条件（如先给受试者提供 A 的详细信息，再提供 B 的信息，或反之），观察最终选择的变化。3. 通过数据分析验证是否存在干涉效应，即选择 A 的概率是否受 B 的信息干涉影响。

如果实验数据符合量子概率模型的预测，而不是经典概率模型的预测，则可以说明量子干涉在社会决策中的作用。

3.5 未来研究方向

量子社会实验的研究仍处于早期阶段，但它已经展示出比经典实验方法更能解释个体决策过程的潜力。未来的研究方向包括：进一步优化量子测量实验的设计，使其更加适用于大规模社会调查；结合人工智能和量子计算，开发更复杂的量子社会科学模拟；研究量子纠缠在群体决策中的作用，探索多主体系统中的非局部效应。

量子实验方法的广泛应用将有助于改善社会科学研究的准确性，提供更深层次的行为洞察，并促进跨学科的理论发展。下一部分将探讨实验结果及其在现实社会中的应用潜力。

4 实验验证与案例分析

4.1 认知测量实验中的量子坍缩效应

量子坍缩描述了量子态在测量后从多个潜在结果的叠加态转变为单一确定状态的现象。在认知决策实验中，这一概念对于理解个体选择在多轮决策中的演化方式具有重要意义。

在基于量子理论的决策实验中，一个关键观察结果是，参与者的认知状态并不是固定的，而是随着时间波动，并仅在明确测量时趋于稳定。传统决策模型假设个体具有预先存在的偏好，而量子概率模型则认为，个体的选择是在测量发生时动态形成的。实验研究表明，当参与者多次面对相同的决策任务时，他们的反应模式更符合量子态的转变，而非静态的概率分布。

为了比较量子概率模型与经典决策模型的有效性，研究者设计了实验，使个体在不同的情境影响下做出连续的选择。实验结果表明，量子概率模型更能解释决策的变异性，尤其是当参与者在选择前表现出犹豫或模糊性时。这支持了人类决策本质上是概率性的，并受到情境坍缩影响的假设，与量子态收缩相类似。

4.2 量子叠加态如何影响个体选择

叠加态是量子力学的基本概念，指的是一个系统可以同时存在于多个状态，直到测量将其坍缩为某个特定状态。在社会决策中，这意味着个体可能在多种相互矛盾的态度或偏好之间摇摆，直到他们被迫做出最终选择。

一种测试这一现象的实验方法是分析参与者在不同时间点的态度，以确定他们的决策模式是否符合量子叠加原理。在这些实验中，个体在不确定条件下被要求在两个或多个选项之间做出选择。随后，在不同的情境提示下，他们被再次要求做出相同的决策。如果量子叠加成立，不同时间点的选择概率分布不应符合经典概率定律，而应表现出量子干涉效应。

实验结果表明，个体的偏好变化往往无法用传统的贝叶斯更新解释。相反，这些变化与量子概率模型一致，即决策不仅受过去经验影响，还受到先前可能状态的影响。这一发现对理解偏好动态、消费者行为和政治决策具有重要意义，因为它表明个体可能并不持有固定的态度，而是在不同潜在状态之间振荡，直到必须做出承诺。

4.3 量子测量顺序实验案例

测量顺序效应指的是问题或刺激呈现的顺序影响参与者回答的现象。在经典概率论中，假设问题顺序不应影响决策结果。然而，实验证据表明，问题顺序确实会导致决策偏移，这凸显了量子理论解释的必要性。

一项对比量子调查问卷和经典调查问卷的实验研究展示了测量顺序的影响。在量子调查中，参与者被问及一系列旨在测试其答案是否符合非交换性特征的问题。然后，将其回答与经典调查问卷所得结果进行比较，后者假设问题顺序不会影响最终选择。

结果显示，当参与者以不同顺序回答问题时，其回答发生的变化违反了经典概率预期。量子概率模型预测，回答较早的问题会改变受访者的认知状态，从而影响随后的回答。这与量

子力学的研究结果一致，即测量会扰动系统并改变其潜在结果。

进一步的统计分析证实，量子概率分布比经典模型更适合解释实验数据。这表明，人类决策是情境依赖的，之前的互动以非经典的方式塑造了认知状态。

4.4 量子认知建模在群体决策中的应用

群体决策比个体选择更具复杂性。传统模型假设集体决策可以通过个体偏好的加总来得出，但这一假设往往无法解释群体动力学及集体影响。

量子认知建模提供了一种替代方法，通过在群体博弈论中引入量子策略来研究群体决策。在量子博弈实验中，多个参与者共同进行决策任务，其选择受到意见纠缠和战略相互依赖的影响。与经典博弈模型假设个体概率分布相互独立不同，量子策略允许群体成员的决策相互关联，并在动态演化中形成最终选择。

实验研究测试了量子启发式群体策略在集体谈判、投票行为和经济协商中的应用。结果表明，群体成员的决策表现出干涉效应，这表明他们的选择并非独立做出的，而是通过潜在结果的相互联系形成的。

另一个重要发现是，在分析群体数据时，干涉项的存在表明个体选择之间存在非经典关联。这对于理解政治或商业环境中的共识形成过程尤为重要，在这些环境中，个体态度可能会因群体讨论而动态变化。

通过将量子概率模型应用于群体决策，研究者能够开发更准确的集体行为预测模型。这些模型能够解释意见的流动性及群体互动的涌现特性，而经典方法则难以有效描述这些现象。

4.5 实验分析的结论

本部分讨论的实验研究强调了量子概率模型在解释人类决策和群体互动方面相较于经典方法的显著优势。研究结果表明：量子坍缩效应解释了多轮选择中的决策变异性，挑战了个体偏好是静态的假设; 量子叠加提供了一个稳健的框架来建模偏好不确定性，特别是在个体存在相互矛盾态度的情况下; 量子测量顺序效应表明，决策序列会影响最终选择，违反了经典概率定律，但符合量子概率预测; 量子认知建模在群体决策中揭示了非经典关联，展示了集体选择的相互联系性。

这些结果强调了在社会科学研究中采用量子启发框架的必要性。通过利用量子原理，研究者可以更深入地理解认知过程，改进调查方法，并优化人类行为的预测模型。未来的研究应进一步探索量子概率在社会数据分析中的整合，以及量子认知建模在政策制定和行为经济学中的实际应用。

下一部分将讨论这些研究结果在政策和未来研究方向方面的广泛影响。

5 政策影响与未来发展

5.1 量子社会实验对社会科学研究范式的影响

量子计算为社会实验数据分析提供了全新的方法论，它能够处理高维、非线性、动态变化的数据，从而改善社会科学研究的精度。传统社会实验方法依赖经典概率模型，这些模型在处

理认知不确定性和情境依赖行为时存在明显局限。而量子概率模型提供了一种更灵活的框架，能够解释测量顺序、态度叠加和决策干涉效应等现象。

在社会政策制定中，量子概率模型的引入可以提升决策分析的准确性。社会政策涉及多变量优化和非线性反馈机制，传统模型难以在高复杂度环境下提供有效预测。量子优化算法，如量子近似优化算法（QAOA）和变分量子本征求解器（VQE），可以用于模拟政策的潜在影响，并提高资源分配和社会福利模型的优化效率。此外，量子统计模型能够更好地解释社会舆论动态、公众情绪波动以及决策行为的不确定性，为政策制定者提供更全面的数据支持。

此外，量子计算还能够增强社会模拟的真实性。传统的社会科学实验通常受到数据量、受试者规模和时间约束的限制，而量子计算可以通过大规模模拟仿真不同社会环境下的政策影响。例如，在公共健康政策评估中，量子计算可以同时处理多个变量，包括社会接触模式、病毒传播路径和政策干预措施的效果，以更高的精度预测不同政策的实际影响。

5.2 伦理与治理问题

随着量子测量技术的应用，社会实验的数据安全性和隐私保护问题变得尤为重要。量子计算的强大计算能力可能会威胁到传统加密方法，使得社会实验参与者的行为数据面临泄露风险。未来的研究需要发展抗量子密码技术，以确保实验数据的机密性。

此外，量子实验方法可能引发伦理挑战。例如，量子测量顺序实验可能无意中影响受试者的认知状态，从而改变其真实的态度表达。这可能引发关于研究者是否应当在实验过程中干预个体认知的伦理讨论。同时，量子博弈实验的非经典交互机制可能导致实验结果难以解释，这要求制定更加透明的实验流程，以确保研究的公正性和可解释性。

另一个值得关注的问题是量子技术在社会治理中的应用风险。政府和企业可能利用量子社会模拟技术来预测公众行为、优化政策决策，甚至实施更为精细的社会控制。因此，在推动量子社会科学发展的同时，必须制定相关的伦理和法律规范，以确保量子技术不会被滥用。

为了应对这些伦理和治理挑战，社会科学研究机构应当建立专门的伦理评估机制，对量子社会实验的设计和实施进行严格监管。同时，政策制定者需要建立法律框架，规范量子计算在社会科学中的应用，确保技术的可控性和负责任使用。

5.3 未来展望：量子实验与人工智能的结合

未来，量子计算与人工智能（AI）的结合将为社会科学实验带来更大的突破。量子人工智能（Quantum AI）利用量子计算的强大计算能力增强深度学习和优化模型的效率，使得社会实验数据的处理能力大幅提高。

量子计算在社会科学实验中的潜在应用包括基于量子机器学习（QML）优化的社会网络分析、行为模式预测以及政策模拟。量子计算可以高效处理大规模非结构化数据，并提取其中的隐含模式，为社会科学研究提供更精确的分析工具。

量子强化学习（QRL）是一种结合量子计算与强化学习的方法，能够优化实验数据的分析过程。QRL 通过量子态叠加和干涉效应提升策略探索效率，使得复杂的社会实验能够更快速地收敛到最佳实验设计方案。QRL 的应用场景包括优化社会调查问卷设计、改进舆论分析方法、增强个性化推荐系统等。

此外，量子 AI 还可以在社会预测建模中发挥关键作用。例如，在金融市场分析中，量子

AI 可以模拟全球市场中的投资行为，提供更精确的风险评估和投资策略建议。在政治决策中，量子 AI 可用于分析选民情绪变化，并预测政策调整对公众支持度的影响。

随着量子计算技术的进一步发展，社会科学研究将进入一个全新的计算范式。未来的研究需要重点关注量子实验方法与 AI 的结合，通过跨学科合作推动量子社会科学的发展。政策制定者、伦理学家、数据科学家和社会学者需要共同努力，确保量子计算在社会科学中的应用能够促进社会福祉，同时避免技术滥用带来的潜在风险。

下一部分将总结本文的研究成果，并讨论量子社会科学研究的未来发展方向。

6 结论

6.1 主要研究结论

本研究表明，量子叠加和测量效应在社会互动实验中具有重要作用。研究结果表明，与经典模型相比，量子概率模型为分析社会实验数据提供了更准确的框架。通过纳入测量顺序效应、情境干涉和认知状态叠加等原理，量子方法能够更深入地理解决策过程。

本研究的核心发现之一是，人类的选择并非总是预先确定的，而是在测量情境下动态产生的。这一结果挑战了依赖经典概率和固定偏好模型的传统社会科学假设。实验证据表明，当改变问题的顺序时，受试者的回答可能会发生变化，这支持了认知状态在测量之前处于叠加态的观点。

此外，本研究强调了量子概率模型如何增强社会实验中的数据分析。通过使用量子傅里叶变换（QFT）和 Grover 搜索算法等量子启发方法，研究人员可以提高行为研究、情感分析和政策模拟的预测准确性。这些方法为处理大规模、高维社会数据提供了一种更高效的方式，使研究人员能够更准确地洞察群体决策和社会动态。

6.2 局限性与挑战

尽管量子方法在社会科学研究中展现出了巨大优势，但仍然存在一些挑战和局限性需要解决。其中一个主要问题是当前量子实验技术在现实社会科学研究中的适用性。虽然量子概率模型在理论上优于经典模型，但其实际应用需要广泛的验证和优化。

另一个挑战是量子计算硬件的限制。尽管量子处理器的开发取得了一定进展，但当前的量子硬件仍然面临退相干、噪声和量子比特稳定性不足等问题。这些限制阻碍了量子社会实验的规模化，使得难以开展高精度的大规模研究。此外，依赖量子模拟器而非实际量子硬件进行实验，可能会影响实验结果的普适性。

此外，将量子力学与社会科学方法相结合需要跨学科的专业知识，这仍然是广泛应用的一大障碍。大多数社会科学家缺乏量子理论的培训，而量子物理学家可能不熟悉人类行为建模的复杂性。弥合这一差距需要建立专门的教育项目，并促进物理学家、数据科学家和社会研究人员之间的合作研究。

另一个局限性涉及量子社会实验的伦理问题。量子计算处理海量个人和行为数据的能力带来了隐私、知情同意和数据安全方面的潜在风险。未来研究需要建立伦理框架，确保量子社会实验遵守负责任数据使用和参与者保护的原则。

6.3 未来研究建议

为了推动量子计算在社会科学研究中的应用，未来研究应重点关注几个关键领域。首先，需要开发更精确的量子社会实验方法。这包括优化量子概率模型，以更好地捕捉人类的认知偏差，开发新的量子增强调查方法，并对量子决策模型进行大规模实验验证。

另一个重要方向是量子计算与实验社会科学的深度结合。未来研究应探索量子机器学习如何提高经济行为、社会影响网络和政治决策等领域的预测建模能力。应开发混合量子-经典算法，以弥合当前经典社会科学模型与量子计算能力之间的差距。

此外，研究应关注如何提高量子社会实验的可扩展性。随着量子硬件的不断发展，研究人员应在量子处理器上测试现实应用，以评估其分析大规模社会互动的有效性。对量子云计算资源的投资以及与量子技术公司的合作，将有助于加快这一领域的发展。

跨学科合作也应得到鼓励，以弥补量子计算与社会科学之间的知识鸿沟。大学和研究机构应建立联合项目和资金支持计划，以促进跨学科研究团队的形成。量子社会科学培训计划应得到发展，以确保未来研究人员具备有效应用量子方法的必要技能。

最后，未来研究必须考虑量子社会实验的伦理和监管影响。政策制定者、伦理学家和研究人员必须共同努力，制定规范，确保量子计算在社会科学研究中的负责任应用。这包括开发量子安全加密技术，以保护社会数据，解决量子增强 AI 模型可能存在的偏见，并确保量子社会模拟不会在治理和政策制定方面产生意想不到的负面影响。

通过解决这些研究优先事项，量子计算可以从理论工具转变为社会科学研究的实际应用工具。其提高预测精度、优化决策模型和揭示人类行为新见解的能力，使量子计算成为未来社会科学研究的重要变革力量。

To Cite This Article Zhong CHEN. (2025). Quantum Superposition and Measurement Effects in Social Interaction Experiments. *Quantum Social Science*, *1*(1), 117–143. https://doi.org/10.6914/qss.010104

Quantum Social Science, ISSN ****-**** (print), ISSN ****-**** (online), DOI 10.6914/qss, a Quarterly, founded on 2025, Indexed by CNKI,VIP, Google Scholar, AIRITI, Scilit, CrossRef, Elsevier PlumX, etc., published by Creative Publishing Co., Limited. Email: wtocom@gmail.com, https://qss.hk, https://cpcl.hk.

Article History **Received:** November 16, 2024 **Accepted:** January 22, 2025 **Published:** February 28, 2025

References

[1]Aerts, D., Sozzo, S., & Veloz, T. (2016). A new fundamental evidence of non-classical structure in the combination of natural concepts. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, *374*(2058), 20150095.

[2] Busemeyer, J. R., & Bruza, P. D. (2012). *Quantum models of cognition and decision*. Cambridge University Press.

[3] Pothos, E. M., & Busemeyer, J. R. (2013). Can quantum probability provide a new direction for cognitive modeling? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *36*(3), 255–274.

[4] Khrennikov, A. (2010). *Ubiquitous quantum structure: from psychology to finance*. Springer Science & Business Media.

[5] Haven, E., & Khrennikov, A. (2013). *Quantum social science*. Cambridge University Press.

[6] Wang, Z., Solloway, T., Shiffrin, R. M., & Busemeyer, J. R. (2014). Context effects produced by question orders reveal quantum nature of human judgments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *111*(26), 9431–9436.

[7] Atmanspacher, H., Filk, T., & Römer, H. (2004). Quantum Zeno features of bistable perception. *Biological Cybernetics*, *90*, 33–40.

[8] Asano, M., Basieva, I., Khrennikov, A., Ohya, M., & Tanaka, Y. (2011). Quantum-like model of brain's functioning: Decision making from decoherence. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, *281*(1), 56–64.

[9] Busemeyer, J. R., Pothos, E. M., Franco, R., & Trueblood, J. S. (2011). A quantum theoretical explanation for probability judgment errors. *Psychological Review*, *118*(2), 193–218.

[10] Conte, E., Khrennikov, A., & Todarello, O. (2009). A preliminary experimental verification on the possibility of Bell inequality violation in mental states. *NeuroQuantology*, 7(4), 657–664.

[11] Franco, R. (2009). The conjunction fallacy and interference effects. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *53*(5), 415–422.

[12] Khrennikov, A. (2009). *Contextual approach to quantum formalism*. Springer Science & Business Media.

[13] Lambert-Mogiliansky, A., Zamir, S., & Zwirn, H. (2009). Type indeterminacy: A model of the KT(Kahneman Tversky)-man. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *53*(5), 349–361.

[14] Pothos, E. M., Busemeyer, J. R., & Trueblood, J. S. (2013). A quantum geometric model of similarity. *Psychological Review*, *120*(3), 679–696.

[15] Yukalov, V. I., & Sornette, D. (2011). Decision theory with prospect interference and entanglement. *Theory and Decision*, *70*, 283–328.

[16] Aerts, D., & Sozzo, S. (2011). Quantum structure in cognition: Why and how concepts are entangled. *Quantum Interaction*, *7052*, 116–127.

[17] Asano, M., Ohya, M., Tanaka, Y., Basieva, I., & Khrennikov, A. (2012). Quantum-like model of brain's recognition process. *BioSystems*, *110*(3), 75–79.

[18] Busemeyer, J. R., Wang, Z., & Lambert-Mogiliansky, A. (2009). Empirical comparison of Markov and quantum models of decision making. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *53*(5), 423–433.

[19] Conte, E., Todarello, O., Federici, A., Vitiello, F., Lopane, M., Khrennikov, A., & Zbilut, J. P. (2007). Some remarks on an experiment suggesting quantum-like behavior of cognitive entities and formulation of an abstract quantum mechanical formalism to describe cognitive entity and its dynamics. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, *31*(5), 1076–1088.

[20] Khrennikov, A. (2004). *Information dynamics in cognitive, psychological, and anomalous phenomena*. Springer Science & Business Media.

[21] Pothos, E. M., & Busemeyer, J. R. (2009). A quantum probability explanation for violations of 'rational' decision theory. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *276*(1665), 2171–2178.

[22] Trueblood, J. S., & Busemeyer, J. R. (2011). A quantum probability account of order effects

in inference. *Cognitive Science*, *35*(8), 1518–1552.
[23] Wang, Z., & Busemeyer, J. R. (2013). A quantum question order model supported by empirical tests of an a priori and precise prediction. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, *5*(4), 689–710.
[24] Aerts, D., Gabora, L., & Sozzo, S. (2013). Concepts and their dynamics: A quantum-theoretic modeling of human thought. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, *5*(4), 737–772.

Editor *Sophia LI wtocom@gmail.com*